Application No. Applicant(s) HIROSE ET AL. 10/669,001 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Tai Van Nguyen 3729 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Michael J. Didas, Applicants. (4) (2) Tai Van Nguyen, Examiner. Date of Interview: 05 May 2005. 2) applicant's representative] c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: IDS. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants noticed that the Notice of Allowance on 25 February 2003 did not include IDS mailed on 9/24./03. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

A. DEXTER TUGBANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required